

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE,

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/745,230	12/21/2000	Walter V. Tserkovnyuk	VRex-0006USAAAON00	7518	
7590 02/12/2004			EXAMINER		
Gerow D. Bril	I, Esq.	DIEP, NHON THANH			
Reveo,Inc. 85 Executive B	oulevard	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Elmsford, NY 10523			2613	3	
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	——————————————————————————————————————						
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/745,23	30	TSERKOVNYUK ET AL			
		Examiner	,	Art Unit			
		Nhon T Di	·	2613			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no evo n. a reply within the state priod will apply and wi tatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 February 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims			·			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
-	ion Papers		- 1				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 December 2000</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ acthe drawing(s) bracetion is require	pe held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) D Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/745,230

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 is recites the limitation "said" in "said outside support structures" (line 11 and lines 12-13; "said" in "said adjustable support position"; and "said" in "said third support position". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Katayama et al (US 5,668,595).

Katayama et al discloses a multi-lens imaging apparatus having a mechanism for combining a plurality of images without displacement of registration comprising the same method of mechanically adjusting the aiming of 3D lens/cameras within a 3D or stereoscopic camera system, comprising: simultaneously rotating about their horizontal axes both 3D lens/cameras with one adjustment; and rotating about a vertical axis of

the camera system a first 3D lens/camera with respect to a vertical axis position of a second 3D lens/camera, wherein the rotation adjustments provide optimum axes adjustments for a 3D display of a stereoscopic scene (fig. 1, 7 and 32; col. 7, ln. 57 – col. 8, ln. 3: horizontal and vertical deviation amounts = rotating horizontally and vertically, el. 105 and 205 of fig. 32 shows rotation adjustments provide optimum axes adjustments for a 3D display of a stereoscopic scene) as specified in claim 13.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Paul (US 5,883,695) discloses a method and apparatus for producing stereoscopic images with single sensor.
- b. Maguire, Jr. (US 5,644,324) discloses an apparatus and method for presenting successive images.
- c. Lipton et al (US 5,142,357) discloses a stereoscopic video camera with image sensor having variable effective position.
- d. Lipton (US 4,418,993) discloses a stereoscopic zoom lens system for threedimensional motion pictures and television.
- e. Chocol et al (US 3,959,580) discloses a directly viewable stereoscopic projection system.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T Diep whose telephone number is 703-305-4648. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

Application/Control Number: 09/745,230

Art Unit: 2613

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S Kelley can be reached on 703 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 87209314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-2600.

ND 2/9/2004

NHON DIEP
PRIMARY EXAMINER